

MEAD NOP RAB MEETING 6-9-05
TABLE 1

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
2-42	FEMALE	You need to know that this is a very outdated list that you have for the community as members is not current.	BILL McFARLAND	Thank you. We're trying to update that. That's why we have a master back there and we're asking people to update that for us.	Melissa Konecky and John Wageman are the only two community RAB members who regularly attend meetings.
4-40	FEMALE	I know groundwater monitoring report from 2003, is that in the repository yet or not? Does anyone know?			This report is in the Information Repository
4-46	FEMALE	So have you got the groundwater monitoring report from 2003 into the repository as you promised them?			See response above
5-1	FEMALE	Alright. So why did you promise that it would be there in a few days?			No response necessary
5-20	DAVE McREYNOLDS	How long does that take?	NATALAE TILLMAN	We may have to defer to the Regulators.	From the time samples are collected in the field, analyzed at the laboratory, and reviewed by the contractor and Army for quality assurance takes approximately 90 days. From this point forward, results will posted on the project website as soon as this process is complete.
5-26	FEMALE	May I ask what that technical issue is	MALE	It's in the progress. I don't know the exact. It's on our plate (phonetic) right now.	See response above
5-47	LYNN MOORER	So what technical issues are there that you haven't told DEQ about?	BILL McFARLAND	The best we can do is follow-up on that. We don't the answer for you tonight. We'll take that as an action item and we'll get that out within two weeks from tonight. We will get you an answer. We will report back to Ms. Konecky. We have your old number.	There are no remaining technical issues between the Army and NDEQ regarding the 2003 report.
8-4	LYNN MOORER	You didn't sample all the surface water, ones that had hits last November. Why did you not do that? Like SW3, SW7, SW13 the acetone?I'm not asking the results. We know that you didn't sample them. The question is why didn't you sample them?	JASON LEIBBERT	These were the samples that were collected from Johnson and Clear Creeks in March of 2005. And these are the results here.	The surface water locations sampled in November 2004 provided a baseline of surface water conditions for this site, since the creeks had not been sampled in several years. Upon review of the November 2004 data, a more defined sampling program was developed that included the most strategic locations. This defined program provides the necessary information to understand the surface water conditions at this site. Each year, the surface water sampling program is

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
					<p>reevaluated based on historical MW and SW data as well as MW and SW data from the current year.</p> <p>The Draft Surface Water Work plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan states, “A determination of the upcoming years sampling scope and rationale is completed based on review of quarterly monitoring data; along with historical data. Surface water sample locations are determined after the third quarterly sampling event results are available. The frequency of samples to be collected during the next year will be reviewed and may be changed, allowing flexibility in the monitoring the impact of the groundwater plumes on surface water in the area.</p> <p>The sampling locations currently proposed for sampling in this SWSAP have been selected for one or more of the following specific objectives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishment of upstream background surface water concentrations; • Continued monitoring of locations where COCs have been detected in past sampling events; and <p>Monitoring of locations where discharge of COCs from contaminant plumes into surface water is possible.”</p>
8-15	LYNN MOORER	No. Just go ahead and answer it now. I mean you got a mark there. You’ve got this thing right off. Can you explain to us why you didn’t sample them in March?	MALE	Not every location was sampled in March, a select few.	<p>See response above</p> <p>The Army does not have an unlimited budget to conduct sampling. Each year the Army and the regulators negotiate the sampling plan that balances the needs of all agencies, the community, and stays within available</p>

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
					funding.
8-21	MALE	Not every location was sampled in March, a select few. Question is why?	JASON LEIBBERT	Some of them are, more technically justified to sample than others. We went back to the ones that made the most sense to sample again.	See response above
8-30	LYNN MOORE	Wanted to know why you didn't ?	JASON LEIBBERT	We'll have to follow-up on that one.	See responses above
8-41	LINDA WAGEMAN	What about the other COCs? . What about all those? The other box? All that other yucky stuff that were – was back in there back in 1997. Did you test for all those and the metals and all of that stuff?	JASON LEIBBERT	There are seven contaminants of concern defined in the ROD and every time we do a sampling then we analyze all seven of those components. TCE and RDX are the most prevalent and the most widespread contaminant at this site. And when we report results for TCE and RDX we don't necessarily report all the other contaminants of concern because they were low action levels. They very rarely, if ever, show up above action levels.	The army does not test for metals in groundwater. With the current laboratory analytical methods we use, explosives other than RDX and solvents other than TCE can be detected. We primarily report only the seven CoCs, but detections of other compounds detected with the current methods would be found in the data report.
9-47	DAVE McREYNOLDS	50A and B's been high. You ought to know that A and B's been really high. Do you have any idea how high A and B have been?	JASON LEIBBERT	No, I don't have the data memorized	According to data collected from these water wells since 2003, TCE and RDX have been either non-detect or detected below action levels.
10-11	DAVE McREYNOLDS	What's A and B? The latest time you did it. 50A and B and they're within 3/4ths of a mile of 85. So I think you have that on the top of your head	JASON LEIBBERT	I'll have to follow-up on that. I don't know the –	See response above
11-2	LINDA WAGEMAN	So monitoring well 85 was the topic of this RAB. You do not have enough information to respond to a layman's question?	WILLIAM McFARLAND	No ma'am I don't believe that's what I said. I think these gentlemen here tried to think I'm wrong sir. You were referring to other wells. Was it 93? Did I hear you correctly sir? It was an A & B 93A and B?	See response above
11-18	LINDA WAGEMAN	I want to know why the DEQ is not forcing the Corps to extend their testing?			The Department made a request for more testing on April 8, 2005.
12-23	LYNN MOORER	So you're saying – when you say operations start that means air stripper? The whole thing?	JASON LEIBBERT	Yes. That means the system should be-- fully functional and fully operational by that time.	
13-24	FEMALE	What is HMX?	MIKE FELIX	It's an explosive compound. Our review of that surface water sampling data for Johnson Creek and Clear Creek the concentrations of TCE and the other VOCs are less than or title117 surface water standards. Those are standards for aquatic life. RDX and HMX don't have promulgated surface water quality standards (inaudible). Based off of these sampling results we think it's important that quarterly sampling be continued in	

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
				all three surface water bodies not only in 2005 but beyond. And we've also asked that additional sampling be performed in Johnson Creek and the vicinity of MW85.	
13-34	LYNN MOORER	Okay just to make sure we heard you right. You said all three creeks quarterly sampling _____ (inaudible)?	MIKE FELIX	Yes	
13-39	LYNN MOORER	Okay. So the bottom line just mentioned in Johnson. But you're saying it's all three?	MIKE FELIX	The first part of that is we requested quarterly sampling surface water that --	
14-15	LYNN MOORER	This is submitted by who did you say?	MIKE FELIX	Kansas City District	
14-19	LYNN MOORER	The Containment Evaluation Plan is for the Corps?	MIKE FELIX	Yes	
15-43	MELISSA KONECKY	My first question is you mentioned that you're requesting additional site wide plume delineation activities and that is for the Corp, the Kansas City Corps to carry out. Is that right?	MIKE FELIX	Correct	
16-3	MELISSA KONECKY	Did they agree?	MIKE FELIX	I think that is an activity that's part of the Site Management Plan that it's still being worked on. So I'm not sure that we have agreed _____ (inaudible) on that _____ (inaudible).	
16-37	LYNN MOORER	(As far as additional plume remediation I don't know if that's going to be a later activity.) So it's possible it could be years, if ever, that this ever happens?	MIKE FELIX	I don't know.	
18-19	MELISSA KONECKY	As far as the wetland's mitigation goes. There was a letter from someone I think named Mr. Taylor from the EPA from a Department of the EPA saying it's doubtful whether the wetland's remediation can be carried out because there's not going to be enough water left.	FEMALE	I haven't seen that letter.	
18-32	FEMALE	How long ago did that come out?	FEMALE	May 20 th , excuse me, March 24 th . This is Thomas D. Taylor to Rodney Swartz and it was copied to DEQ.	
18-39	FEMALE	Are you familiar with that letter?	FEMALE	I probably read it -- I just didn't memorize every single sheet of paper	
19-1	MELISSA KONECKY	Was the 401 permit contingent on the wetland's mitigation?	FEMALE	Yes	
19-22	MALE	What if it's not acceptable?	FEMALE	Then we have to go back to the drawing board.	
19-40	MELISSA KONECKY	Mr. Taylor isn't a hydrologist?	FEMALE	Mr. Taylor is a wildlife expert _____ (inaudible).	
20-6	MELISSA KONECKY	Why doesn't the DEQ -- why can't the DEQ respond to a very important document that the EPA forwarded off to			This was a courtesy copy of an EPA letter addressed to the Omaha District Corps and

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
		the DEQ in regards to our wetlands which are directly pertinent to your 401 Certification? I find this unusual at best. Mike?			did not require an NDEQ response.
20-21	LYNN MOORER	Okay. Well here's a specific question that we'd like to have an answer to. What is DEQ's (phonetic) view of the comments in this letter? I mean we want to know do you agree/disagree.			No comment.
20-25	MELISSA KONECKY	And what intends to be done?			None.
20-27	LYNN MOORER	Yeah what can be done? These are very serious concerns.			No comment.
20-30	LINDA WAGEMAN	Do you just read it and shove it in a file never to touch it again?	FEMALE	it is not our job to respond to it?	None.
20-40	MELISSA KONECKY	And what could be done about it?			None.
21-24	LINDA WAGEMAN	-- direct regards to your responsibility Nebraska DEQ. We're asking you, we're making a specific request. Would you please respond to these questions that have been raised on the specific letter in writing?			No comment.
21-32	LINDA WAGEMAN	Is that policy no longer DEQ's policy?			None.
21-41	LYNN MOORER	So there's already somebody in your department who is supposed to be looking at this. I mean, did she just <i>gen</i> (phonetic) up this letter out of <i>whole cloth</i> (phonetic) without actually getting you to review?	FEMALE	Yeah I'm the one that reviews mitigation plan first.	None.
22-8	MELISSA KONECKY	So you think the wetland's mitigation plan is fine?			The NDEQ provided review comments on the mitigation plan in a letter dated March 11, 2005.
22-19	MALE	So you signed off on this whole thing and say we hope it will work out later basically?	FEMALE	Yep.	None.
23-27	LINDA WAGEMAN	Who is a greater authority on State Statutes regarding environmental law? Is it the people that are entrusted to enforce them? Or the people that actually write them?			None.
23-30	MIKE FELIX	What State Statutory are you referring to?	LINDA WAGEMAN	This would be 5606	None.
23-34	MIKE FELIX	And what does that say?	LINDA WAGEMAN	It says specifically; let me give you -81-1506	None.
23-44	MIKE FELIX	How does that apply to MUD?			
24-25	LINDA	What?	MIKE FELIX	That's not how we apply that statute. They have	None.

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
	WAGEMAN			to be responsible for the contamination for us to take action. They <i>aren't</i> responsible for the contamination.	
24-41	LYNN MOORER	You would say because they didn't cause the spill of gasoline that's okay for them to channel the contamination to some other water source?	MIKE FELIX	I'm not sure what we would say in that scenario. The person that caused for the release I know we would go after.	None
26-22	LINDA WAGEMAN	Why did it take a bunch of civilians to come in here and scream, yell, holler and moan to have surface water testing done and why did it take a threat to get monitoring wells tested? I mean it – excuse me, residential wells sampled. Don't take credit for that. You don't deserve it and you are and your presentation is disgraceful.			This presentation was a result of a request by the community co-chair.
27-13	LINDA WAGEMAN	No extended testing and if memory serves me correctly is Johnson Creek a <i>class 2B stream</i> ? Is it Lynn? Or to be?	LYNN MOORE	I think it is.	It is unclear what is meant by the statement "Johnson Creek is a class 2B stream". Johnson Creek is identified in Chapter 5 of Nebraska Title 117 as a perennial segment and has been assigned the following beneficial uses: Aquatic life, Warmwater B; Agriculture Class A; and Aesthetics. The criteria used to define the support of and to protect these uses can be found in Title 117, Chapter 4. NDEQ is required by the Clean Water Act to monitor the waters of the state to determine if the assigned beneficial uses are being met. Streams are categorized based upon the level of use support and the Department's intended actions. In regards to Johnson Creek for the 2004 reporting period, the waterbody was considered a Category 3 water, where data was lacking to determine if any beneficial uses were being met.
27-18	LINDA WAGEMAN	Yeah we should be protected by the EPA and I want to know why you're not protecting those waters. You say you are. You haven't and I want to know why.	MIKE FELIX	Well I can't tell you why there wasn't any request from '97 to 2004.	Johnson, Clear and Silver Creeks were historically sampled in March 1995, May 1996, July 1996, and April 1999. Although there were chemical detections in each creek, none of the detections exceeded Nebraska Title 117 surface water standards. The OU3 Revised Baseline Risk Assessment from 2000 indicated that the potential cancer risks from surface water are within or below the USEPA risk range of 1×10^{-4} to 1×10^{-6} for all areas

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
					and scenarios evaluated.
27-27	LINDA WAGEMAN	So why didn't you test those streams before? Why didn't you run your sample?	MIKE FELIX	Like I said, I don't know there was no request for _____(inaudible).	None.
27-35	MELISSA KONECKY	Well yeah and that one – one is related to what we were just talking about. Assuming theoretically that the plume does move after the MUD well field starts pumping, then if it's not up to MUD obviously to fix it, according to you, who's responsibility would that be to clean up that new area?	MIKE FELIX	_____(inaudible) Kansas City District	None.
27-42	MELISSA KONECKY	It would still be the Kansas City Corps?		See Response to 27-44	None.
27-44	FEMALE	Even though it's caused by MUD's pumping?	MIKE FELIX	(I didn't say it's their fault I'm saying it's their responsibility to maintain containment.) UNSPECIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: With Federal tax dollars? You guys screwed up interpretation of Nebraska law.	None.
28-24	LYNN MOORER	Alright. Are you putting that in writing? Yep. Regarding my letter too.	MIKE FELIX	We were preparing a response	None.
28-43	LYNN MOORER	Okay. A written response?	MIKE FELIX	Yes	None.
29-1	LYNN MOORER	For every question that's been raised?	MIKE FELIX	Yes. We're preparing a response to the letter	None.
29-5	FEMALE	You have written?	MIKE FELIX	Yes	None.
29-28	MELISSA KONECKY	Okay so they couldn't be responsible?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Although the Army is not statutorily responsible for chemicals other than the 7 contaminants of concern, the containment remedy is robust enough to contain the plume, regardless of what other contaminants may be present. The activated carbon treatment system can deal with most chemicals. If other chemicals are discovered on the site which are obviously DoD related (i.e. military explosives), then the Army would also assume responsibility. The 7 CoC's defined in the ROD are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TCE Methylene Chloride 1,2-Dichloropropane RDX TNB (Trinitrobenzene)

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ TNT (Trinitrotoluene) ○ 2,4-DNT (Dinitrotolunene) • When samples are analyzed for VOC's and Explosives, many other chemicals are analyzed besides the 7 CoC's <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Method 8260 for VOC reports approx 30 chemicals ○ Method 8330 for EXP reports approx 10 chemicals • ALL results for ALL chemicals are reported by USACE - and are contained in the Annual GMP Reports. • ALL results are sent to the individual landowners each time their wells are sampled. • However, NWK does not "interpret" all those other chemicals - i.e. we don't map the results, we don't comment on whether those chemicals exceed any health based standard, we don't discuss these other chemicals in any of our reports. • USACE will continue to report all results for all chemicals to the EPA and NDEQ
29-30	LYNN MOORER	So there's no limitation of them?			See response to 29-28
29-32	LYNN MOORER	Not limited to those seven COCs?			See response to 29
30-1	MELISSA KONECKY	But what is that based on? What is that?			See response to 29
30-2	LYNN MORRER	Yeah. What legal authority do you have that they assertion?			See response to 29
30-15	FEMALE	Do you have a record? (ROD)			See response to 29
30-33	MELISSA KONECKY	Broader chemicals? No responsibility for those?			See response to 29-2
30-35	LYNN MOORER	What's your answer on that one?	CATHERINE SANDERS	_____(inaudible) from the list of the COC?	
30-45	LYNN MOORER	And so the answer is?	CATHERINE SANDERS	Yes if they relate back to COCs and their daughter products. Yes.	
31-4	LYNN MOORER	That the Corps would be responsible for those?			
31-10	MELISSA KONECKY	Are you saying that you guys, the Corps decided that it was only going to be the seven COCs and that's how this whole thing happened?	CATHERINE SANDERS	For the record decision was signed by EPA and NDEQ. I take that back. I don't know that NDEQ signed it. Did you guys sign it?	NDEQ did not sign the ROD.

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
31-16	MELISSA KONECKY	Well is that written in stone then that because you guys decided? You guys are only responsible for those seven? Just because you decided this?	CATHERINE SANDERS	This was a decision by EPA and the Corps in that regard the decision. What the COCs would be. Yes	
31-30	LYNN MOORER	And so that could well be many more chemicals or compounds or daughter (phonetic) compounds beyond the seven. Right? Correct?	SCOTT MARQUESS	But at this point I'm not aware of the issues where we have detected anything else that's driving the need for an action or driving our risk.	
31-40	NANCY MEYER	My name is Nancy Meyer a Saunders County resident. My question relates to your slide entitled Air Quality Issues. Define bullet says that you're going to perform monthly monitoring of emissions. Okay? And my question is, what specific action will be taken if these monthly monitoring of emissions reveal that there's unacceptable level on contaminants? What will you do exactly? Will you just shut down things? Will you – re-do things? Will you tell the public? Exactly what will you do? And really want because I'm a little concerned about the way things are going here. Feel as a citizen, you guys are stone walling like crazy tonight. And I've <i>seen you guys do this before</i> . I've seen the <i>NDEQ</i> (phonetic) do this before. You gave Ashtroe (phonetic) a nice fat permit to burn the tires down there in Louisville. Those people have elevated levels of respiratory illnesses, of cancer but Ash Grove wanted it and you gave it to them. You guys are the only ones who stand between us citizens and the polluters. We're counting on you. Please answer my question.	JASON LEIBBERT	So the way they're emission control system works in this case is we use an activated carbon filter that's made specifically for treating contaminated air and we'll test that air screen monthly. And if there's an exceedance that means the carbon filters been expended and it's ready to be changed out. So that results, all this results from the monthly sampling. Get reported to the regulators and the carbon filters get changed out as they're needed	
32-20	NANCY MEYER	You're going to change the filters? That's what you're going to do?	MALE	Well the filters are operational and the monthly testing indicates that the air emissions are below the acceptable limit. That indicates that the filter is operating properly and that if there's any exceedance that indicates that the filter is not operating properly that will be corrected.	
32-28	NANCY MEYER	You just repeated what you just said. Look, changing the filter doesn't make me feel comfortable. If my environment has been polluted and you're just going to change the filter I'm sorry I mean how do you explain that to some child who's ill? I'm sorry that doesn't seem to sound good enough for me. I want to see action you guys. Is that it?			See response to 33-8 to 34-12

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
32-33	LYNN MOORER	Well do you want to tell us Mr. Felix what you're going to do about it? You're telling us you're going to protect us here.			See response to 33-8 to 34-12
32-6	LYNN MOORER	Will you require stack tests?			
33-8	MIKE FELIX	Do you want to answer that one Brad?	BRAD	I guess I finally get some air time here. I'm in the Air Department. What? The question – I guess I'm posed with a question of what are we going to do regarding the carbon filters. Is that?	None.
33-19	LYNN MOORER	The question was will you require stack tests?	BRAD	No. We use – what we do is <i>mass balance</i> (phonetic) in order to determine what the emissions are. We do not need to do a stack test. If there's a part per million of the pollutant in a water stream what we assume is all that is stripped out so we can calculate based on a mass balance. The mass that comes through the air stripper system we assume all that is released. That is probably the most accurate method of calculating any emission point like this. The same goes for a <i>paint</i> (phonetic) base thing. All the solvents are evaporated. Maybe not all the solvents are evaporated but that's what we assume. So <i>mass base</i> (phonetic) a calculations are most efficient, are most exact numbers to use. So stack testing would not give us any information that we don't already know.	None.
33-45	LYNN MOORER	What would you consider to be reason to believe?			None.
34-5	LYNN MOORER	Are you going to require BACT? BACT is available control technology?	BRAD	When the uncontrolling emissions of this unit, which they are calculating and sending to the Department I believe. When those exceed two and a half tons per year, which is a regulatory limit, we do require best available to <i>trote</i> (phonetic) that knowledge.	None.
34-12	LYNN MOORER	What would that be?	BRAD	Typically in the past I can tell you that granulated activated carbon has been considered best available control technology, which I believe that is what the Corps is proposing to use.	None.
35-1	LINDA WAGEMAN	Yeah, my name is Linda Wageman (phonetic). I need to get a hold of the monitoring well tests, the sampling results for monitoring wells 19A, B and C, 41A, B and C, and 64B. I've gone through the repository. I have	LISA THOLL	Could you tell me again what the dates you said on 64B? What was the date that you just mentioned?	This data was forwarded to Ms. Konecky on June 27, 2005, requesting that she, in turn, forward to Ms. Wageman.

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
		gone through your annual reports and there's some scary assumptions made in regards to 41A and since 1993 there's not one inkling of discussion or results on 64B. And I need to know who could provide me those results and when. Yeah Lisa?			
35-21	LINDA WAGEMAN	What do you mean by the first date? I mean I need number 19A, B and C. I need 41A, B and C. I need 64B and I'd like them – like the results from 1993 to current.			See response to 35-1
35-25	LYNN MOORER	Would you like to see actual lab test results Linda?	LINDA MOORER	Really would prefer to see the actual lab test results. Yes.	See response to 35-1
36-2	CHRIS FUNK	Yeah. You talked about requesting a lot of things but do you require some of these concerns that everybody – you have and we have? Can you require or whoever informs some of those things that they're requesting?			None.
36-24	CHRIS FUNK	I have a problem with trusting that and I was sent in October. I have no set of letters in December stating the results and then just to equalize the letter saying there's _____ (inaudible) clerical errors and the results on the _____ (inaudible) were incorrect. And what's _____ they may not even be associated with the samples from my well. So you sent me some – I received some other _____ (inaudible). Why should I believe you from my well now? And why should anybody believe that their samples and their results were correct if there were errors in the sampling and results _____ (inaudible)?	BILL McFARLAND	I don't know what you're referring to the _____ (inaudible) samples.	
36-39	SCOTT MARQUESS	What letter are you talking about?			No response required
36-41	SCOTT MARQUESS	Are you talking about my letter?	CHRIS FUNK	Yeah	No response required
37-38	JANET PIERCY	My name is Janet Piercy and I was one of the four they had detect contaminant TCE and I was just curious why, if you guys are so caring, protecting the Nebraska residents in that area, why I haven't been offered bottled water and I'd wish I'd had brought that article in the World Herald where there was some detection TCE in the valley area and I don't know what agency gave them the bottled water but right away they had bottled water. I just saw an article in the <i>World Journal</i> (phonetic). I'm just saying why, why wasn't I offered? I didn't ask for it but I would think it would come from you to me having			Any privately owned water supply well that is tested and shown to be above the defined safe drinking water levels for any of the 7 COC's at this site, will be included in the normal quarterly sampling program and bottled water and/or activated carbon filters will be provided by KCD. The process and procedure by which the Buffer Zone sampling program will be expanded in the future is highly dependent on

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
		detected the TCE in my well water without me even asking. I thought it might have been offered that you guys are so caring. This article's from World <i>Herold</i> (phonetic) had mentioned that they had TCE detection, something. I don't know how they got it because they're not near us but they were _____(inaudible) for not only bottled water but the filtered water. And nothing has been offered to me and some of the ones that were protected, the TCE. Kind of just doesn't give me warm fuzzies.			future sampling results and the sample locations relative to other monitoring wells and privately owned water supply wells in the area. In general, these procedures can be summarized by stating if any monitoring well or privately owned water supply well is shown to contain any of the 7 COC's for this site, at levels above one-half the defined safe drinking water level, additional sampling will be performed in that localized area. The number of wells sampled in that localized area and how often those wells are sampled (up to quarterly) during the year will be increased. This however, does not mean that the Buffer Zone sampling program will be immediately expanded across the entire site.
38-38	SCOTT MARQUESS	I don't recall. Did you have TCE detected in your water?	JANET PIERCY	I don't believe so. Not a form of TCE	No response required
39-10	LYNN MOORER	Okay. Just say for example though if she did. You have recommended Mr. Marquess that the Army should consider offering or providing alternate water supplies when any detections of RDX or TCE are observed in residential water supplies. Correct?	SCOTT MARQUESS	That is – that's correct.	See response to 37-38
39-17	LYNN MOORER	Alright. So that's an important distinction here. Is the Corps going to do that? Regardless of whether it's health _____(inaudible) or not. You believe that the Corps should offer bottled water or alternate water supplies to anybody who has RDX or TCE detection of any type.	SCOTT MARQUESS	Correct, And I will say the ROD doesn't require that as it's written.	See response to 37-38
39-34	LINDA WAGEMAN	What's less expensive? Putting the people in the area on filtration devices or having to come out and test them all the time? Where is the cost benefit? Has anybody run analysis from that?			<p>The cost of installing a carbon filtration unit is approximately \$3,000. Once a residence has a unit, technicians inspect and sample quarterly to assess its performance and determine when filters need to be changed, the labor for which is \$500 annually.</p> <p>Analytical costs of sampling are approximately \$1,000 per event – which are the same regardless of whether a home has a carbon unit or not.</p>

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
39-38	LYNN MOORER	Give him the answer first. Are you – is the Corps going to do	JASON LEIBBERT	So, let me start by saying I don't have this policy memorized but there is a written policy that's being published. We published it back in September at our public availability session that defines the minimal criteria by which a resident received bottled or activated carbon treatment for their home. And there's a set process and I can you tell you I don't know that set process off on the top of my head but we can find that documentation and we can provide that to you.	The Army provides any residence either bottled water or a carbon filtration unit if their water supply well reaches the action level of either RDX (2 ppb) or TCE (5 ppb). If the well reaches one-half the action level, the Army will increase monitoring frequency to quarterly to ensure the action level is not exceeded before alternate water supply is provided.
40-12	LYNN MOORER	Then why did she get hers? Is that a _____(inaudible) too?	MALE	She might have been TCE	
40-32	SUE BRAUCKMULLER	Brauckmuller and I have a question for Mike. You said RDX and HMX don't have a – some _____(inaudible) standard. That kind of freaks me out. Should I not necessarily freak out or should I let my <i>daughter</i> (phonetic) drink out of it or my cow _____(inaudible)?	MIKE FELIX	I don't know I'd have to talk with our Surface Water fellows. They don't have a numerical standards. I don't know if they _____ (inaudible) other _____ (inaudible) standard or what you use _____(inaudible) to use.	There are no regulatory standards established in Nebraska Title 117 for HMX and RDX.
40-41	SUE BRAUCKMULLER	Is it just because it only lands in the water or is it? Is it a number that hasn't been arrived at?			None.
40-46	SUE BRAUCKMULLER	But should I be concerned if it is high?	MALE	<i>Yeah, be concerned</i> (phonetic)	If a sample exceeds our surface water quality standards in Nebraska Title 117, we would be concerned.
41-6	LYNN MOORER	how high is high is the next question?			None.
41-12	FEMALE	So what is high?			None.
41-17	MALE	I'm sorry you're talking about explosives in surface water. Right?			None.
41-21	SCOTT MARQUESS	So what's our maximum level of _____(inaudible) surface water? Less than five?	FEMALE	Less than five	
42-39	PAUL RANDAZZO	The last thing I have is how long is it possibly going to take you guys to put together a plan? Been hearing about the plan, the plan, _____(inaudible) and everyone else's suggestions but when is the plan? How long can it possibly take to say here's our plan of action and it includes all these things? Should be – taken most – at the most it will take you about four hours. Here's the plan.	BILL McFARLAND	I wish it were that simple. There's a number of plans out there. I believe the "the" plan that it's all encompassing a number of actions that were current and <i>ongoing</i> and projected on to the rest of this calendar year but well into the future I believe that was discussed as a main topic item at the last RAB. I was not there for that but I vaguely remember the plan to discuss with the regulators in preparation for the February 22 RAB and that was an agenda topic and went into great detail as I recall.	At the August 30, 2005 RAB, USACE briefed proposed actions through 2008, which included routine groundwater and surface water sampling, hydraulic data collection, geo-probe sampling, and the installation of a large number of new monitoring well clusters. The December 1, 2005 RAB will cover the Site Management Plan in more detail.

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
43-6	PAUL RANDAZZO	Is that your plan? So what we were delivered on February is the plan? So _____(inaudible) from end and with the rest of the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality that _____ (inaudible) these recommendations are not the plan?	BILL McFARLAND	What we call the plan is encompassing a number of components. <i>Load Line 1 is our main objective</i> for this year in addition to contained with the extraction models and I'm sure there are and the groundwater sampling that there's a number of components within the plan.	See above response (42-39)
43-15	PAUL RANDAZZO	The plan is for February information that you gave. So the plan has already been done you're saying? And I may _____(inaudible) I missed it.	BILL McFARLAND	Okay we're – as I recall it was discussed. I believe there was copies handed out. It has not been finalized	See above response (42-39)
43-40	BILL RANDAZZO	All I want to know is what you guys are doing.	BILL McFARLAND	Okay. We are in the process of finalizing the plan.	See above response (42-39)
44-9	LYNN MOORER	This isn't actually a question I want to point out to Mr. Marquess this has to do with the consent decree that the University has recently signed with the U.S. Government pertaining to the contaminants for which the University may have responsibility. There has been a consent decree lodged. There are 30 days that the public has that they can comment on it and the 30 day period will be up on Monday. Actually it will be on Sunday except that the – you go to the next business day and none of guys have uttered a mumbling word about this but this is something you did refer to in general Mr. Marcus at that last meeting when the issue came up with study of the radioactive materials and where we were with respect to the University. Do you want to summarize what this consent decree provides? I've got a copy here.	SCOTT MARQUESS	Let me tell what – let me tell you what the order tells _____ (inaudible) and Bruce is here. They had to do – and we talked about this in the past. The scope is generally to address – to conduct a Remedial Investigation and a Feasibility Study at – how many areas? Six areas Bruce basically? Well there's two trenches? Two trenches at low <i>dock one</i> (phonetic). There's a trench at low line two. There are a number of disposable areas and other areas at the landfill sewage treatment plant. The University submitted in – yeah that's just north of the <i>Silver</i> (phonetic) Treatment Plant.	
45-6	LYNN MOORER	RAD means radioactive materials?	BRUCE HALEY	Radioactive. <i>All are</i> (phonetic) radioactive. The north <i>proving</i> ground, the landfill itself, the pesticide (phonetic) rinsate (phonetic) area, and we're including those two smaller trenches that were up by the landfill area. They're included as one. So, you know we can get six. But yeah, we've talked about them all before. They were all up on the wall when you made your one presentation for everybody _____(inaudible).	
45-20	BRUCE HALEY	Burial Site D?	SCOTT MARQUESS	Yes. And then what April is it, May? When is it? The University submitted for EPA review subject to the agreement. The first seven whenever those plans whenever that we get this process started. _____(inaudible) it will be providing a few comments to the University next	

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
				week I anticipate. Second set of the more specific plan will be provided the first part of July and then all of the field work to begin sampling and characterizing the University sites will happen in late summer or early fall.	
45-33	LYNN MOORER	Okay. So you're not exactly familiar with the terms of the consent decree?	SCOTT MARQUESS	Well no –	
46-16	LYNN MOORER	For response costs?	SCOTT MARQUESS	Correct	
46-29	LYNN MOORER	Oh I understand. I'm not – I didn't – wasn't saying so I'm glad you clarified. I'm not saying it really going to cost them that. I'm just saying in terms of a payment to the U.S. Government its \$71,000. They bear the expense for their RIFS. Alright? And EPA is the lead agency with respect to this. Correct?	SCOTT MARQUESS	The order is with the EPA and _____(inaudible).	
46-36	LYNN MOORER	Alright. And the University covenants not to sue the U.S. Government over any past – any other past response processes or to seek injunctive relief for any soil or groundwater contamination caused by explosive compounds. It only refers to explosive compounds released at the site by the U.S. Government or it's contractors or for any of the University's costs for responding to releases or threat releases of the <i>hazardous substances</i> on the site. Alright? The U.S. Government agrees as a part of this to covenant not to sue the University to recover any more past response costs or seek conjunctive relief of soil or groundwater contamination caused by the explosive compounds released at the site by the U.S. Government. So basically they're agreeing to it say we're not going to go after either of you anymore for past costs that either the agencies have rung up related to explosive compounds by the University. Excuse me, by the U.S. Government or hazardous substances by the University <i>hazardous</i> (phonetic). This is the agreement that you may recall, a lot of you guys have seen the letter when all our five U.S. elected representatives, <i>Senator Nelson, Senator Hagel, Bereuter, Osborn and Terry</i> (phonetic) wrote that letter <i>lodging</i> (phonetic) EPA Administrator Leavitt (phonetic) at the time to go easy on the University. Okay? And there were three things that they asked for in that letter. They wanted DEQ to be the lead agency, not	SCOTT MARQUESS	Correct.	

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
		EPA. They wanted the RIFS to be implemented over a two-year period, at least a two-year period. And they wanted the scope of work to be restricted. Now, I think we've answered one of those questions. The question is which of those three things? What's the status of those three things they asked for? EPA is the lead agency not DEQ.			
47-19	LYNN MOORER	Okay. But then according to the Administrative Order it is still just up to EPA to decide what the timeline is. It's your approval authority.	SCOTT MARQUESS	The schedules are with _____(inaudible) plan. I don't have those off the top of my head.	
47-25	LYNN MOORER	But have you approved them?	SCOTT MARQUESS	They have not reviewed the plan yet. They will be multi-year and multi-in the process. It won't be solely for investigation it will also be remediation that will be occurring when that _____ (inaudible). So that's what the removal actions as I talked about Load Line 1, Load Line 2 and the Site D. So there are an additional investigation and there will actually be clean up after the _____ (inaudible).	
47-33	LYNN MOORER	Would you refer to her as RA – Removal Action?	SCOTT MARQUESS	Correct	
47-45	LYNN MOORER	Can you explain for us? Who were wondering then, what's the deal with the scope of work? Was the scope of work restricted?	SCOTT MARQUESS	The scope of work is the scope that they need to address the sites that are not identified. I mean what the University is going to be doing with this order is every time when they think they need to be able to address waste disposal, waste management area of whatever at the site.	
48-7	LYNN MORRER	So EPA has not made any agreement or understanding that you will agree to have any of the scope of work limited?	SCOTT MARQUESS	Well we agreed. At least <i>something has been</i> (phonetic) identified the areas of concern and we look at the areas that are identified in the order.	
48-13	LYNN MOORER	So that you haven't made a particular agreement that says, we all agreed to limit this scope? According to what the Congress people were asking for.	SCOTT MARQUESS	<i>Where I was going</i> (phonetic) a part on how we evaluate the site. I mean we value the site.	
49-18	LINDA WAGEMAN	So I'm going to have to spend money to hire an attorney to go to court to request an extension?	LYNN MOORER	No, no. I would just say – the comment everybody could submit right is we just learned about it, please extend this for at least another 30 days or 60 days. And I do have an address of this U.S. Attorney in Omaha. I presume that – they don't even tell you in here where you're suppose to send it.	

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
49-38	FEMALE	The 30-day discussion deal?	SCOTT MARQUESS	That's different than what this one is.	
50-13	HAROLD KOLB	What happened to the Memphis sample you have?	BILL McFARLAND	Memphis sample? I contacted personally the Mayor, Mike Kronakin (phonetic). He gave us access, written access to two wells. Myself and two others, a contractor, we went out there and those wells were sampled for explosives _____ (inaudible) Jason's Jump in there any time. I was there I witnessed that. The samples were taken for two municipal supply wells. They were tested. They were quality assured and the results have been provided to Mr. Kronakin. When I talked to Mike the other day he knows the RAB came up here and I said we're pleased with the results and they came back non-detect and I said can I release that information to members of the Board or here to the public next week, meaning tonight. And he said yes and I said or would you prefer the – any questions on this come to you directly and he says no. He gave me – he was at a Board Meeting. He turned to the Board and the Board authorized us to say that the two samples for explosives that we obtained during the March sampling event came back as non-detect. And during on the next, next time we run or the water bills go up they plan on putting a notification in the mail along with the water bills to all the local residents.	June 2005 sampling results also indicate no DoD contaminants have impacted the Memphis system
50-30	HAROLD KOLB	Was that all their wells? Did Memphis only have two wells?	BILL McFARLAND	That's my understanding. That's the two we were shown and there's an old one and a new one and those were the two we sampled. Yes sir.	
50-40	HAROLD KOLB	Well I've read that there is RDX at those locations. So, I don't have it right with me but I've read that there is RDX in 12 and 13. So basically no findings?	JASON LEIBBERT	The system has been designed to treat TCE contamination on the _____ (inaudible). And data indicates that there is no RDX contamination although it's an action level that far south.	No RDX has been detected at EW 12 or 13.
50-51	HAROLD KOLB	But there's still a RDX (phonetic) there?	JASON LEIBBERT	It's the other part of the plan is to have EW11 up and running as soon as possible that will cut off	The TCE levels at EW-11 were so high, that the main treatment facility would approach

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
				that part of the plume and prevent RDX from further migration down the _____ (inaudible).	maximum capacity for removing TCE. The Army is designing a supplementary treatment process to destroy TCE in the pipeline from EW-11 before it reaches the main treatment plant. EW-11 will go back on line in 2007.
51-6	HAROLD KOLB	You shut it off three or four years ago. So why would you start it up? Is 12 and 13 – you come up with a schedule is that on schedule? Last time I was here they said December they were going to be working. So now it's March?	JASON LEIBBERT	<i>12 and 13 will be pumping</i> in December. The system will continue to undergo start-up testing whereby we make sure that everything is fully functional and right now the schedule shows that by March of '06 the entire system should be fully functional and ready to turn over _____ (inaudible) operational.	The scheduled start date for EWs 12 and 13 is still March 2006.
51-15	HAROLD KOLB	Okay is TCE heavier or lighter than air? Does this stuff just keep going up or does it go to a certain place and never come down. Or does it go about five miles and come down on my house or what? Where does this TCE go when you've put the two and a half tons a year up in the air?	JASON LEIBBERT	I can't speak to that atmospheric exposure I can't _____	<p>TCE vapors are much heavier than air, TCE evaporates very quickly. The molecular weight is 133.4 and the density is 1.349. The TCE vapors are 4.5 times heavier than air.</p> <p>In the atmosphere, TCE is destroyed by photooxidation, with a half-life of 3-8 days during the summer months and approximately 2 weeks in cold climates during the winter. This relatively short half-life significantly limits the transport of TCE in air.</p> <p>2.5 tons per year is regulatory limit, under which no treatment is required. At the new stripper, the Army will treat emissions regardless of how far under the regulatory limit we may be. Technical calculations indicate that under the normal operating conditions, the air stripper will release an estimated 7.65 pounds per year of TCE into the atmosphere.</p>
51-27	FEMALE	Where does it go?	BRAD	I'm not the – I'm the air guy. I'm not a meteorologist but when you do release volatile organic compounds into the atmosphere they do disperse. I would imagine that they could _____ (inaudible) and possibly land just like dew would land.	See answer above
51-48	HAROLD KOLB	Can the RAB be updated?	BILL McFARLAND	Right now there's always that process _____ (inaudible). There's always that process for updating the RAB. There are no plans to do that	The Army will provide status updates at each RAB.

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
			SCOTT MARQUESS	<p>right now.</p> <p>Yes, you can always amend the decision if there's some reason to do so. Yes there are plans to write an explanation of significant differences or a ROD to address. A ROD specified pump and treat in where these hot spot to areas within the plume and the plan is now is multi-phase but it's the one I'm sure that is not three-phase DNAPL but then there is – if there's not implement the GCWs, which there are a couple of wells that have been _____ (inaudible) here to _____ (inaudible) the RDX _____ (inaudible) TCE but there is a plan to revise the ROD but that's a number of components but that's the primary data <i>asked for</i> (phonetic) _____ (inaudible).</p>	
52-20	HAROLD KOLB	Could you bring that up to these meetings?			
52-22	LYNN MOORER	Just a minute. A plan means yes there's an agreement you're going to do it?	SCOTT MARQUESS	Yes	
52-32	LYNN MOORER	So I wondered, now you have agreement?	SCOTT MARQUESS	Okay, yes we have an agreement –	
52-43	HAROLD KOLB	Will we have input into some of this?	SCOTT MARQUESS	You will hear about it.	
55-20	LINDA WAGEMAN	How long will it take you to get all the answers to our questions? Do you need more time or are you going to be able to? We want actual lab test results.			USACE will continue to address the backlog of questions to the best of our ability. USACE will provide on CD, by request, actual lab results of previous sampling events from the past two years. June sampling will be provided at the December 05 RAB.
55-34	BILL McFARLAND	Lisa, how long will it take to pull that information?	LISA THOLL	Not long.	
55-40	LINDA WAGEMAN	And these are the actual lab results and not just the reports?	LISA THOLL	They have to be pulled from the database that will list everything that was analyzed under the methods. That can be pulled off the database in a timely manner.	USACE will bring a computer with the sampling database to every open house and RAB meeting to accurately answer specific data requests on the spot.
55-48	LYNN MOORER	What about actual?	LISA THOLL	Actually it is a _____ (inaudible) actually lab data packages. That cannot be accomplished _____ (inaudible).	USACE will provide on CD, by request, actual lab results of previous sampling events from the past two years. June sampling will be provided at the December 05 RAB
56-2	LINDA	How long will it take roughly?	LISA THOLL	It is in Mainly and they use the paper that needs to	Analytical lab data for a sampling event can

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
	WAGEMAN			be <i>pulled on archive</i> (phonetic) and copied. I think they need a date – I think we can accomplish it.	be found in the corresponding Quality Control Summary Report (QCSR). These are placed in the Information Repository. From 2003 forward, QCSRs can be provided in electronic format.
56-7	LINDA WAGEMAN	They should be able to do it by the – in three months?			From the time samples are collected in the field, analyzed at the laboratory, and reviewed by the contractor and Army for quality assurance takes approximately 90 days. From this point forward, results will posted on the project website as soon as this process is complete.
56-22	BILL McFARLAND	That _____ (inaudible) Lisa could we have that _____ (inaudible) in two weeks everyone by the and before the next RAB Meeting?	LISA THOLL	For a lot of years I pulled lab data <i>questionable</i> (phonetic).	
57-12	SCOTT MARQUESS	Lab results for a surface water or?	LYNN MOORER	All, all the sampling in the last quarter whatever they were. September, even he said it was Johnson Creek in September.	
57-17	LYNN MOORER	Or September 12 th ? . Anything last quarter to present.	BILL McFARLAND	Okay. We'll take that as an action item and we'll get you that status quo.	See responses to 55-40 and 55-48
57-24	LYNN MOORER	Now the other thing is there's about 200 questions from previous meetings to this point, a back log that have been asked each time. Would you please answer those? Actually review your videotapes. Actual review it.			USACE will continue to address the backlog of questions. At all future RABs, we intend to bring all data resources and documents to be able to answer all questions accurately when asked. Those that cannot be answered at the RAB will be consolidated into a list, agreed to by the all RAB participants, at the end of the meeting. These questions will be answered as expeditiously as possible and posted on the project web site.
58-35	LINDA WAGEMAN	So you mean to tell me my questions are not going to be answered? We're going to have to – where's McCollum?	BILL McFARLAND	(inaudible) McCollum is retired.	See response to 57-24
58-40	LYNN MOORER	He explicitly promised answers to everything. Remember?			See response to 57-24
58-44	LYNN MOORER	How fleetly (phonetic) he promised those?			See response to 57-24

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
58-48	LINDA WAGEMAN	Well at least he's not in _____ (inaudible) with it. So, okay so he retired and because McCollum (phonetic) is no one _____ (inaudible) in this organization. We're going to have to go back to square one?			See response to 57-24
59-20	LINDA WAGEMAN	Where are McCollum's files?	STEVE IVERSON	I'm Johnny-come-lately _____ (inaudible). Okay? So, bear with me. But how I understand these questions and then I respond after that, that all responses to the questions – they have gone back and you've accumulated questions. They have gone back and with your tapes they feel – okay they feel like they have answered all the questions and responded to them. Now –	See response to 57-24
59-41	LYNN MOORER	Most of them did not capture the real question. Were they complete?	STEVE IVERSON	I can tell you what's going on now when you can – you could have sat down with everybody they're like we don't even know what the rest of the questions are. I think we got all the questions here. So, you know, my proposal at that point was look –	See response to 57-24
61-40	LINDA WAGEMAN	We're not worth protecting. You take maximum levels or health levels 2.0 well this is 1.8. Oh it's not worth it. We'll test them again. 37 wells came up non-detect. That's bull shit. Four were tested detect. One of them north of the plume. We'll keep an eye on it. But no you're going to take it to the press and you're going to pontificate on how – what a wonderful job you did. You lied. This is a joke. You allow MUD to use 1997 maps for their current groundwater testing when you have current maps. You have newer maps. They specifically asked you for it. I've got the e-mail. I've got the evidence. At the very least you could have given them the 2002 URS information. You chose not to. Why? Lazy? Why? Not much of a difference? Why is that? In every other area of the plume with the exception of the east which really isn't tested nor is it the monitoring wells. Uh huh. They were hardly ever tested. Very seldom. And the ones that are tested and the sampling events are redundant. I want to know who's going to manage this project?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USACE provided MUD an updated plume map on September 1, 2005. • At all future RABs, we intend to bring all data resources and documents to be able to answer all questions accurately when asked. Those that cannot be answered at the RAB will be consolidated into a list, agreed to by the all RAB participants, at the end of the meeting. These questions will be answered as expeditiously as possible and posted on the project web site. • The Kansas City District of USACE will continue to aggressively manage the cleanup of the Mead site. We intend to install a more extensive network of monitoring wells to ensure the groundwater plumes is contained after the MUD wellfield begins operation. Although we cannot directly influence the MUD operation, we will do everything within our control to monitor the effects of their operation and provide information to our

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
					Omaha District.
62-12	LINDA WAGEMAN	I mean do I need to get a Judge Advocate to come in? What do I need to do?			No response necessary
62-30	LYNN MOORER	Yeah. You guys have a script you use?	STEVE IVERSON	No	No response necessary
63-38	LINDA WAGEMAN	And then it's going to go on and on and on and on. So what do I need to do go to his superior? Did that already. And if he hasn't already heard he will soon.			No response necessary
64-17	MELISSA KONECKY LINDA WAGEMAN	(This is an approximate \$9 million budget discrepancy between your spreadsheets and between what Mr. McCollum had provided me.) Don't you think that's a lot of money?			The Army has found the discrepancy and has accounted for the difference. The current funding data, provided by request, is the correct accounting.
65-44	LINDA WAGEMAN	This is how the Corps is managing this project. So here's my question, how do you successfully budget when you don't know what you're going to be doing? And further more, how do you justify your ideas to Washington or the State or the EPA? How do you successfully set your priorities? You're not even willing to write it down. You have no working project plan. You rely on a <i>ROD</i> . A ROD isn't a working project plan. It's not. There are no deliverables. There are no dates. It's not – you can't modify it. There are no critical paths. There are no dependencies. There's none of that. And I bet you that the way you're managing this project is unlike other Super Funds out there. I've been able to receive plans from other Super Funds without any problem whatsoever. Like the one _____ (inaudible) my only little Super Fund I just can't get my hands on it because you guys can't get your act together. So what does that mean? It's a Corps problem? Or it's a management issue? It's a management issue. If other people can do it but you guys can't there's a management issue. I mean – and now you want me to take this to Washington because you wanted the meeting to end. Is that cool Bill? Is that what you want Bill?	WILLIAM McFARLAND	Do I want you to go to Washington D.C.? That is your prerogative as a U.S. Citizen. I would, my first choice my personal choice would be to work with you and other members of the community to resolve our differences.	USACE, EPA, and NDEQ have developed a long term Site Management Plan that lays out major activities and priorities. This plan also attaches estimated costs to each of these actions to ensure the plan stays within budgetary limits. USACE laid out project priorities through 2008 at the August 2005 RAB meeting. A summary through 2007 can be found on the project website under "Schedule". The plan will be briefed in more detail at the December 2005 RAB meeting. By the end of December 2005, USACE and the regulators will settle on a project schedule that includes dates, durations, tasks relationships, critical paths, and key milestone dates.
66-21	LINDA WAGEMAN	What are you willing to do so that I don't – because you're already going to have a lot – a hell of a lot to answer to? You're going to have to pull all of that financial data and justify it elsewhere I guarantee it. And it was sent off so that Lieutenant Colonel so and so			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> USACE will provide financial data to those that request it. USACE will present at the December 2005 RAB interim findings from our

Page/Line #		Question		Initial Response	Follow-up Response (if required)
		would have to sign for it himself. A lackey can't even sign for it. He's going to have to sign for it. How's Rossi going to feel when it comes down to him? I'm not playing games and you know what? I haven't even started yet. I have a project plan for this. I have my dependencies. I have my critical paths. I have my milestones laid out. I have deadlines for everything. I haven't even started yet. I suspect that this thing will take approximately four and half years to completion to my resolve. Four and a half years mapped out. Now why can't you do something about this meeting? And I don't know what I'm going to be arguing next year and I don't even know what's going to be on your budget next year. But somehow I can pull up a plan out of my ass.			geoprobe investigation of the southern and eastern edges of the plume. We will also discuss the status of the construction of the new extraction wells and treatment system at Load Line 1. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Also see response to 65-44
66-59	LINDA WAGEMAN	when MUD comes and when MUD moves your plume. And this surface water little issue that DEQ doesn't want to deal with ends up in the water, the aquifer. You're not going to be aide. You're going to have the hardest time on the planet trying to control it. Why? Because you can't control Monitoring Well 85. You can't control the southern part of the plume. You do not know where the contamination is. You don't. I know it. I've got the docs to prove it. Same with the Load Line 1. Why is this a priority for you now? You sat on it for two years. I remember sitting here when you were going to discuss turning on EW11. That's how long I've been coming to these meetings. So Mr. Bill, deliver or get the hell out because I am all over you like white on rice and if you think for one minute that you can win against me you are sadly mistaken.			No response necessary